



On the Rates of Pointwise Convergence for Bernstein Polynomials

José A. Adell, Daniel Cárdenas-Morales , and
Antonio J. López-Moreno

Abstract. Let f be a real bounded function defined on the interval $[0, 1]$, which is affine on $(a, b) \subset [0, 1]$, and let $B_n f$ be its associated n th Bernstein polynomial. We prove that, for any $x \in (a, b)$, $|B_n f(x) - f(x)|$ converges to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$ at an exponential rate of decay. Moreover, we show that this property is no longer true at the boundary of (a, b) . For Bernstein–Kantorovich type operators similar properties hold, whenever f is assumed to be constant instead of affine.

Mathematics Subject Classification. 41A36, 41A10, 41A25, 60E05.

Keywords. Bernstein polynomials, locally constant functions, exponential rates, binomial random variable, bernstein-Kantorovich type operators.

1. Introduction

Let \mathbb{N} be the set of positive integers. Denote by $B[0, 1]$ the set of all real bounded functions defined on $[0, 1]$ endowed with the usual supremum norm $\|\cdot\|$, by $C[0, 1]$ the subset of continuous functions, and by $C^k[0, 1]$ the subset of k -times continuously differentiable functions. Unless otherwise specified, we assume from now on that $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in [0, 1]$.

Recall that the n th Bernstein polynomial of f is defined as

$$B_n f(x) = \sum_{j=0}^n f\left(\frac{j}{n}\right) \binom{n}{j} x^j (1-x)^{n-j}, \quad f \in B[0, 1]. \quad (1)$$

The rates of uniform convergence of $B_n f$ towards a function $f \in C[0, 1]$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, are characterized in terms of the so-called Ditzian–Totik second

modulus of smoothness of f (see, for instance, [3,10,11], and the references therein).

With respect to the pointwise convergence, many different results have been obtained. Among them, we mention the following (see Păltănea [15])

$$|B_n f(x) - f(x)| \leq \frac{3}{2} \omega_2 \left(f; \frac{\varphi(x)}{\sqrt{n}} \right), \quad f \in C[0, 1], \tag{2}$$

where $\varphi(x) = \sqrt{x(1-x)}$ and $\omega_2(f; \cdot)$ is the usual second modulus of continuity of f defined as

$$\omega_2(f; \delta) = \sup \{|f(x-h) - 2f(x) + f(x+h)| : x \pm h \in [0, 1], 0 \leq h \leq \delta\}, \quad \delta \geq 0.$$

Observe that the order of magnitude of the upper bound in (2) cannot be better than n^{-1} .

More recently, several authors have obtained a quantitative form of the generalized Voronovskaja’s formula (see [8,16], and [7], among others). More precisely, let $\mu_{n,j}(x)$ be the j th central moment of the Bernstein polynomial, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{n,j}(x) &= B_n (e_1 - x e_0)^j (x), \quad e_i(t) = t^i, \\ t &\in [0, 1], \quad i \in \{0, 1\}, \quad j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}. \end{aligned}$$

If $f \in C^{2k}[0, 1]$, then (cf. [2, Corollary 3])

$$\left| B_n f(x) - \sum_{j=0}^{2k} \frac{f^{(j)}(x)}{j!} \mu_{n,j}(x) \right| \leq \frac{1}{6^k n^k} \left(\frac{1}{k!} + \frac{(2r)!}{6^r (k+r)!} \right) \omega \left(f^{(2k)}; \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \right), \tag{3}$$

where $\omega(f^{(2k)}; \cdot)$ is the usual first modulus of continuity of $f^{(2k)}$ and

$$r = \left\lfloor 1 + \sqrt{1 + \frac{3}{2}k} \right\rfloor,$$

$\lfloor \cdot \rfloor$ denoting the integer part. An immediate consequence of (3) is that if $f^{(j)}(x) = 0, j = 2, 3, \dots, 2k$, then the rate of convergence of $|B_n f(x) - f(x)|$ is faster than n^{-k} . In this respect, note that the Bernstein operator leaves affine functions invariant, so it is centered, that is, $\mu_{n,1}(x) \equiv 0$. Hence, the value of $f^{(1)}(x)$ in (3) is not relevant.

On the other hand, let $D_{loc}(x_0) \subset B[0, 1]$ be the set of those functions f for which $f^{(i)}(x_0) = 0, i \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, for some $x_0 \in (0, 1)$. In [12], it was shown that if $f \in D_{loc}(x_0)$, then $B_n f(x_0) = o(n^{-\infty})$, meaning that $B_n f(x_0) = o(n^{-k})$, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. This property is actually true for a large class of positive linear operators (cf. [12]).

In view of these facts, a natural question is what would be the rate of convergence of $|B_n f(x) - f(x)|$ towards 0 if f is affine on an open interval which contains x , that is to say, if there exist an interval $(a, b) \subset [0, 1]$ and a function $\ell \in \text{span}(\{e_0, e_1\})$, such that $x \in (a, b)$ and $f(t) = \ell(t), t \in (a, b)$.

In the following section, we show that for any $f \in B[0, 1]$ (not necessarily continuous) fulfilling this assumption, $|B_n f(x) - f(x)|$ has an exponential rate of decay. This property is based on accurate estimates of the tail probabilities of the binomial distribution. As related remarks, we also show that: (i) the exponents in the rates of convergence cannot be improved, in general; (ii) at the boundary of (a, b) , the rate of convergence may be of polynomial order, or even no convergence may occur; and (iii) under the weaker condition that $f \in D_{loc}(x_0)$, an exponential rate of decay at x_0 may not be true. Finally, in Section 3, we show that similar properties hold for Bernstein–Kantorovich type operators, whenever f is assumed to be constant instead of affine, the reason behind this being that such operators are not centered in general.

2. Main Results

Let $Y_1(x), \dots, Y_n(x)$ be independent copies of a random variable $Y(x)$ having the Bernoulli distribution with success probability x , that is,

$$P(Y(x) = 1) = 1 - P(Y(x) = 0) = x. \tag{4}$$

Denote by $S_n(x) = Y_1(x) + \dots + Y_n(x)$. Since this random variable has the binomial distribution with parameters n and x , we can rewrite (1) in probabilistic terms as

$$B_n f(x) = \mathbb{E}f\left(\frac{S_n(x)}{n}\right), \quad f \in B[0, 1], \tag{5}$$

where \mathbb{E} stands for mathematical expectation.

The quantity

$$r(x, \theta) = \theta \log \frac{\theta}{x} + (1 - \theta) \log \frac{1 - \theta}{1 - x}, \quad x, \theta \in (0, 1), \tag{6}$$

is called the Kullback–Leibler divergence between Bernoulli random variables with success probabilities x and θ . Arratia and Gordon [4] showed that

$$P(S_n(x) \geq bn) \leq e^{-nr(x,b)}, \quad 0 < x < b < 1. \tag{7}$$

Since the random variables $S_n(x)$ and $n - S_n(1 - x)$ have the same law, we have from (6) and (7)

$$\begin{aligned} P(S_n(x) \leq an) &= P(S_n(1 - x) \geq n(1 - a)) \\ &\leq e^{-nr(1-x,1-a)} = e^{-nr(x,a)}, \quad 0 < a < x < 1. \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

Denote by $\lceil y \rceil$ the ceiling of $y \in \mathbb{R}$. Recently, Ferrante [6] has shown the following refinement of (7).

Theorem A. *Let $0 < x < b < 1$. Suppose that*

$$n \geq 2 \quad \text{and} \quad 1 \leq bn \leq n - 1. \tag{9}$$

Then,

$$P(S_n(x) \geq bn) \leq \frac{\beta(1-x)}{\beta-x} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\beta(1-\beta)}n} e^{-nr(x,b)}, \tag{10}$$

where $\beta = \lceil bn \rceil/n$.

Remark 1. The restriction $x < b$ in estimates (7) and (10) cannot be weakened. Otherwise, we have from the central limit theorem (see Billingsley [5, p.357])

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(S_n(b) \geq bn) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left(\frac{S_n(b) - bn}{\varphi(b)\sqrt{n}} \geq 0\right) = P(Z \geq 0) = \frac{1}{2}, \quad 0 < b < 1,$$

where Z is a standard normal random variable.

Remark 2. It is shown in [6] that the upper bound in (10) is asymptotically sharp, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. In fact, Theorem A is implicitly an asymptotic result, because, for a fixed $b \in (0, 1)$, condition (9) implies that

$$n \geq \max\left(\frac{1}{b}, \frac{1}{1-b}\right).$$

Remark 3. An upper bound for the left tail probability $P(S_n(x) \leq an)$, $0 < a < x < 1$, analogous to (10), can be derived proceeding as in (8) and applying Theorem A.

In contraposition to Theorem A, no restrictions on n are required in estimates (7) and (8). For this reason, we will use such estimates in what follows.

For a fixed $x \in (0, 1)$, let $f(x, \theta)$ and $g(x, \theta)$ be two real functions defined for $0 < \theta < 1$. By $f(x, \theta) \sim g(x, \theta)$, $\theta \rightarrow x$, we mean that $f(x, \theta)/g(x, \theta) \rightarrow 1$, as $\theta \rightarrow x$. Differentiating with respect to θ the function $r(x, \theta)$ defined in (6), we obtain $r(x, x) = 0$, $r^{(1)}(x, x) = 0$, and $r^{(2)}(x, \theta) = 1/\varphi^2(\theta)$. We therefore have

$$r(x, \theta) \sim \frac{1}{2} \frac{(\theta - x)^2}{\varphi^2(x)}, \quad \text{as } \theta \rightarrow x. \tag{11}$$

Denote by 1_A the indicator function of the set A . We state our first main result.

Theorem 1. *Let $f \in B[0, 1]$ and $0 < a < b < 1$. Assume that there exists a function $\ell \in \text{span}(\{e_0, e_1\})$, such that $f(t) = \ell(t)$, $t \in (a, b)$. Then, we have for any $x \in (a, b)$*

$$|B_n f(x) - f(x)| \leq \|f - \ell\| \left(e^{-nr(x,a)} + e^{-nr(x,b)} \right).$$

Proof. . Let $x \in (a, b)$. Consider the function $g(t) = f(t) - \ell(t)$, $0 \leq t \leq 1$. Since $g(x) = 0$ and $B_n \ell(x) = \ell(x)$, we have from (5)

$$\begin{aligned} |B_n f(x) - f(x)| &= |B_n(g + \ell)(x) - (g + \ell)(x)| = |B_n g(x)| \\ &= \left| \mathbb{E}g \left(\frac{S_n(x)}{n} \right) 1_{\{S_n(x)/n \leq a\}} + \mathbb{E}g \left(\frac{S_n(x)}{n} \right) 1_{\{S_n(x)/n \geq b\}} \right| \\ &\leq \|f - \ell\| \left(P \left(\frac{S_n(x)}{n} \leq a \right) + P \left(\frac{S_n(x)}{n} \geq b \right) \right) \\ &\leq \|f - \ell\| \left(e^{-nr(x,a)} + e^{-nr(x,b)} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from (7) and (8). The proof is complete. \square

In general, there is no hope to obtain a lower inequality for $|B_n f(x) - f(x)|$ in Theorem 1. Indeed, consider an antisymmetric function f around $1/2$, that is, $f(t) = -f(1 - t)$, $t \in [0, 1]$. Suppose, in addition, that $f(t) = 0$ in $(1/2 - \delta, 1/2 + \delta)$, for some $0 < \delta < 1/2$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} B_n f \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) &= \mathbb{E}f \left(\frac{S_n(\frac{1}{2})}{n} \right) = -\mathbb{E}f \left(\frac{n - S_n(\frac{1}{2})}{n} \right) \\ &= -\mathbb{E}f \left(\frac{S_n(\frac{1}{2})}{n} \right) = -B_n f \left(\frac{1}{2} \right), \end{aligned}$$

we see that $B_n f(1/2) = f(1/2) = 0$.

For intervals containing one of the endpoints of $[0, 1]$, we give the following results, whose proofs we omit since they follow the lines of that of Theorem 1.

Proposition 1. *Let $f \in B[0, 1]$. Assume that there exists a function $\ell \in \text{span}(\{e_0, e_1\})$, such that $f(t) = \ell(t)$, $t \in [0, b)$, $0 < b < 1$. Then, for any $x \in (0, b)$ we have*

$$|B_n f(x) - f(x)| \leq \|f - \ell\| e^{-nr(x,b)}.$$

Proposition 2. *Let $f \in B[0, 1]$. Assume that there exists a function $\ell \in \text{span}(\{e_0, e_1\})$, such that $f(t) = \ell(t)$, $t \in (a, 1]$, $0 < a < 1$. Then, we have for any $x \in (a, 1)$*

$$|B_n f(x) - f(x)| \leq \|f - \ell\| e^{-nr(x,a)}.$$

Concerning the previous results, some remarks are in order. For the sake of concreteness, we focus our attention on Proposition 1.

2.1. Sharpness of $r(x, b)$

Fix $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$, with $1 \leq k \leq m - 1$, and $m \geq 2$, and let $b = k/m$. Using (6) and Stirling’s approximation, we have for any $x \in (0, b)$

$$\begin{aligned} (B_{nm}1_{\{b\}})(x) - 1_{\{b\}}(x) &= \mathbb{E}1_{\{b\}}\left(\frac{S_{nm}(x)}{nm}\right) \\ &= P(S_{nm}(x) = nk) = \binom{nm}{nk} x^{nk}(1-x)^{n(m-k)} \\ &\sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{2nmb(1-b)}} \left(\left(\frac{x}{b}\right)^b \left(\frac{1-x}{1-b}\right)^{1-b}\right)^{nm} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2nmb(1-b)}} e^{-nmr(x,b)}, \end{aligned}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This shows that the function $r(x, b)$ in the exponent is best possible.

2.2. Behaviour at the Boundary

Let $(h(n))_{n \geq 1}$ be a sequence of nondecreasing positive real numbers such that $h(n) \rightarrow \infty$ and $h(n)/n \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Obviously, Proposition 1 is not meaningful for $x = b$, since $r(b, b) = 0$, as follows from (6). On the other hand, for a fixed n , Proposition 1 has interest only if

$$nr(x, b) \sim \frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{b-x}{\varphi(x)}\right)^2 \geq h(n) \iff b-x \geq \varphi(x) \sqrt{\frac{2h(n)}{n}}, \quad b \rightarrow x,$$

as follows from (11). This and Remark 1 suggest that no similar result to Proposition 1 can be given for $x = b$.

In fact, using the convergence of moments in the central limit theorem (see, for instance, Billingsley [5, p. 338]), we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left| \frac{S_n(x) - nx}{\sqrt{n}} \right|^s = \varphi^s(x) \mathbb{E}|Z|^s, \quad x \in (0, 1), \quad s > 0, \quad (12)$$

where Z is a standard normal random variable. Denote by $y_+ = \max(0, y)$, $y \in \mathbb{R}$. Since $S_n(1/2)$ is a symmetric random variable, we have

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\frac{S_n(1/2)}{n} - \frac{1}{2} \right)_+^s = \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \left| \frac{S_n(1/2)}{n} - \frac{1}{2} \right|^s, \quad s > 0. \quad (13)$$

For any $s > 0$, consider the function $f_s \in C[0, 1]$ defined as

$$f_s(t) = \left(t - \frac{1}{2}\right)_+^s, \quad t \in [0, 1].$$

By (12) and (13), we have

$$B_n f_s \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) - f_s \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \left| \frac{S_n(1/2)}{n} - \frac{1}{2} \right|^s \sim \frac{1}{2^{s+1}} \mathbb{E}|Z|^s \frac{1}{n^{s/2}}, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

In other words, we cannot have an exponential rate of decay in Proposition 1 for $x = b$. Even more, it may happen that $B_n f(b)$ does not converge to $f(b)$.

Indeed, let $g \in B[0, 1]$ having right and left limits at $t \in (0, 1)$, denoted by $g(t^+)$ and $g(t^-)$, respectively. Herzog and Hill [9] showed that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} B_n g(t) = \frac{1}{2} (g(t^+) + g(t^-)).$$

Therefore, for the function $1_{[b,1]} \in B[0, 1]$, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (B_n 1_{[b,1]})(b) = \frac{1}{2} \neq 1 = 1_{[b,1]}(b).$$

2.3. Rates in the Set $D_{loc}(x_0)$

Let $f \in D_{loc}(x_0)$. As said in Sect. 1, it is known that $B_n f(x_0) = o(n^{-\infty})$. In such circumstances, it is natural to wonder if

$$|B_n f(x_0)| \leq K e^{-C(x_0)n}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{14}$$

for some positive constants K and $C(x_0)$. The following example gives us a negative answer.

Let $0 < \alpha < 1$. Consider the function

$$f(t) = \begin{cases} \exp(-|t - 1/2|^{-\alpha}), & t \in [0, 1] \setminus \{1/2\} \\ 0, & t = 1/2. \end{cases}$$

Observe that $f \in D_{loc}(1/2)$ with $f^{(i)}(1/2) = 0$, $i \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. By Stirling's approximation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} B_{2n} f\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) &= \mathbb{E} f\left(\frac{S_{2n}(1/2)}{2n}\right) \geq f\left(\frac{n-1}{2n}\right) P(S_{2n}(1/2) = n-1) \\ &= \frac{n}{n+1} f\left(\frac{n-1}{2n}\right) \frac{(2n)!}{(n!)^2} \frac{1}{4^n} \sim \exp(-(2n)^\alpha) \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi n}}, \quad n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Since $0 < \alpha < 1$, this shows that inequality (14) cannot be true.

3. Bernstein–Kantorovich Type Operators

Let $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. Let W_k be a random variable taking values in $[0, k]$ and independent of the random variables $Y_j(x)$, $j = 1, \dots, n$, considered in Sect. 2. We define the operator

$$L_{n,k} f(x) = \mathbb{E} f\left(\frac{S_{n-k}(x) + W_k}{n}\right), \tag{15}$$

for any measurable function $f \in B[0, 1]$. If $(V_j)_{j \geq 1}$ is a sequence of independent copies of a random variable V uniformly distributed on $[0, 1]$ and $W_k = V_1 + \dots + V_k$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ($W_0 = 0$), then the operator defined in (15) is the Bernstein–Kantorovich operator, denoted by $B_{n,k} := L_{n,k}$. Observe that $B_{n,0} = B_n$. For

$k \in \mathbb{N}$, we can write (see Mache [13], Acu et al. [1], and the references therein)

$$B_{n,k}f(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-k} \binom{n-k}{j} x^j (1-x)^{n-k-j} \int_0^1 \cdots \int_0^1 f\left(\frac{j+v_1+\cdots+v_k}{n}\right) dv_1 \dots dv_k.$$

Observe that

$$L_{n,k}(e_1 - xe_0)(x) = \frac{1}{n} \mathbb{E}(S_{n-k}(x) + W_k) - x = \frac{\mathbb{E}W_k - kx}{n}.$$

This implies that the operator $L_{n,k}$ is not centered in general, i.e.,

$$L_{n,k}(e_1 - xe_0)(x) \neq 0, \quad x \in [0, 1].$$

For this reason, we state the local approximation result for $L_{n,k}$ in the following form.

Theorem 2. *Let $f \in B[0, 1]$ be a measurable function and $0 < a < b < 1$. Assume that there exists a real constant c such that $f(t) = c$, $t \in (a, b)$, and assume that the interval $I = (na/(n-k), (nb-k)/(n-k))$ is nonempty. For any $x \in I$, we have*

$$|L_{n,k}f(x) - f(x)| \leq \|f - c\| \left(e^{-(n-k)r(x, na/(n-k))} + e^{-(n-k)r(x, (nb-k)/(n-k))} \right).$$

Proof. . Let $x \in I$. Denote

$$T_{n,k}(x) = \frac{S_{n-k}(x) + W_k}{n}. \tag{16}$$

Let $g(t) = f(t) - c$, $0 \leq t \leq 1$. From (15) and (16), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |L_{n,k}f(x) - f(x)| &= |L_{n,k}g(x)| = |\mathbb{E}g(T_{n,k}(x)) \mathbf{1}_{\{T_{n,k}(x) \leq a\}} \\ &\quad + \mathbb{E}g(T_{n,k}(x)) \mathbf{1}_{\{T_{n,k}(x) \geq b\}}| \\ &\leq \|g\| (P(T_{n,k}(x) \leq a) + P(T_{n,k}(x) \geq b)). \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

From (16), we see that

$$b \leq T_{n,k}(x) \leq \frac{S_{n-k}(x) + k}{n}.$$

By virtue of (7), this implies that

$$P(T_{n,k}(x) \geq b) \leq P(S_{n-k}(x) \geq nb - k) \leq e^{-(n-k)r(x, (nb-k)/(n-k))}. \tag{18}$$

Again by (16),

$$a \geq T_{n,k}(x) \geq \frac{S_{n-k}(x)}{n}.$$

By (8), this entails that

$$P(T_{n,k}(x) \leq a) \leq P(S_{n-k}(x) \leq an) \leq e^{-(n-k)r(x, an/(n-k))}.$$

This, (17), and (18) show the result. □

Similar results to Propositions 1 and 2 can be given for the operators $L_{n,k}$. Details are omitted.

Note Added in Proof

While the first version of this paper ([arXiv:2411.10135](https://arxiv.org/abs/2411.10135)) was under the reviewing process, the authors were informed by Malykhin and Ryutin, from the Lomonosov Moscow State University, that some of its contents had some intersections with their paper [14, Statement 1].

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank an anonymous referee for her/his careful reading of the manuscript and for her/his constructive criticism, which greatly improved the final outcome.

Funding Funding for open access publishing: Universidad de Jaén/CBUA. The first author is supported by Research Project DGA (E48_23R). The second and third authors are supported by Junta de Andalucía (Research Group FQM-0178).

Data Availability Statement No data was used for the research described in the article.

Declarations

Conflict of interest The authors declare that they have no Conflict of interest.

Open Access. This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

References

- [1] Acu, A.M., Raşa, I., Ştepoaie, A.E.: Bernstein–Kantorovich operators, approximation and shape preserving properties. *Rev. Real Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fis. Nat. Ser. A-Mat.* **118**, 107 (2024)
- [2] Adell, J.A., Cárdenas-Morales, D.: Quantitative generalized Voronovskaja's formulae for Bernstein polynomials. *J. Approx. Theory* **231**, 41–52 (2018)
- [3] Adell, J.A., Cárdenas-Morales, D.: Strong converse inequalities for Bernstein polynomials with explicit asymptotic constants. [arXiv:2409.03382](https://arxiv.org/abs/2409.03382)
- [4] Arratia, R., Gordon, L.: Tutorials on large deviations for the binomial distribution. *Bull. Math. Biol.* **51**(1), 125–131 (1989)

- [5] Billingsley, P.: Probability and Measure, 3rd edn. Wiley, New York (1995)
- [6] Ferrante, G.C.: Bounds on binomial tails with applications. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory* **67**(12), 8273–8279 (2021)
- [7] Gavrea, I., Ivan, M.: The Bernstein Voronovskaja-type theorem for positive linear approximation operators. *J. Approx. Theory* **192**, 291–296 (2015)
- [8] Gonska, H.H.: On the degree of approximation in Voronovskaja’s theorem. *Stud. Univ. Babeş-Bolyai Math.* **52**, 103–115 (2007)
- [9] Herzog, F., Hill, J.D.: The Bernstein polynomials for discontinuous functions. *Am. J. Math.* **68**(1), 109–124 (1946)
- [10] Knoop, H.B., Zhou, X.L.: The lower estimate for linear positive operators, II. *Results Math.* **25**, 315–330 (1994)
- [11] Knoop, H.B., Zhou, X.L.: The lower estimate for linear positive operators, I. *Constr. Approx.* **11**, 53–66 (1995)
- [12] López-Moreno, A.J., Latorre-Palacios, J.M.: Localization results for generalized Baskakov/Mastroianni and composite operators. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **380**, 425–439 (2011)
- [13] Mache, D.H.: Equivalence theorem on weighted simultaneous L_p -approximation by the method of Kantorovich-operators. *J. Approx. Theory* **78**, 321–350 (1994)
- [14] Malykhin, Yu., Ryutin, K.: Polynomial approximation on disjoint segments and amplification of approximation. *J. Approx. Theory* **298**, 106010 (2024)
- [15] Păltănea, R.: On some constants in approximation by Bernstein operators. *Gen. Math.* **16**(4), 137–148 (2008)
- [16] Tachev, G.T.: The complete asymptotic expansion for Bernstein operators. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **385**, 1179–1183 (2012)

José A. Adell
Departamento de Métodos Estadísticos
Universidad de Zaragoza
50009 Zaragoza
Spain
e-mail: adell@unizar.es

Daniel Cárdenas-Morales and Antonio J. López-Moreno
Departamento de Matemáticas
Universidad de Jaén
23071 Jaén
Spain
e-mail: cardenas@ujaen.es;
ajlopez@ujaen.es

Received: November 10, 2024.

Accepted: March 9, 2025.

Publisher’s Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.